

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/630,607	Applicant(s) MCCREADY ET AL.	
	Examiner KATHERINE SALMON	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) KATHERINE SALMON.

(2) Susan Hubl.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2008

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
Claims 4-15

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed potential amendments to the claims to place the case in condition for allowance. Discussed cancelling Claims 11 and 14 and amending the dependency of claims 13 and 15. Discussed the rejoinder and changes to Claim 4 which would put it into condition for allowance. These changes are described in detail in the examiner's amendment mailed with this Examiner initiated Interview summary.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Katherine Salmon/
Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

